### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

% Recovery:

Client ID: Date Received: Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:	M07099 04/21/11 04/22/11
Date Analyzed:	04/22/11
Matrix:	Water
Units:	ug/L (ppb)

Internal Standard:

Client:	Alaskan Copper Works
Project:	Metro Self Monitor M07099
Lab ID:	104271-01 x10
Data File:	104271-01 x10.041
Instrument:	ICPMS1
Operator	ΛD

 $\mathbf{Lower}$ 

Limit:

60

Upper Limit:

125

Germanium	85
Analyte:	Concentration ug/L (ppb)
Chromium	367
Nickel	343
Copper	253
Zinc	12.5

## ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

			$\mathbf{Lower}$	Upper
Internal Standard:	% Recovery:	3	Limit:	Limit:
Germanium	98		60	125

Analyte:	Concentra ug/L (pp						
Chromium		<1					
Nickel		<1					
Copper		<1					
Zinc		<1					

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 04/27/11 Date Received: 04/21/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor M07099, F&BI 104271

## QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 104274-03 (Matrix Spike)

				Percent	Percent		
	Reporting	$\operatorname{Spike}$	Sample	Recovery	Recovery	Acceptance	RPD
Analyte	Units	Level	Result	MS	MSD	Criteria	(Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.01	108	107	67-132	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	5.24	110 b	117 b	73-119	6 b
$\operatorname{Copper}$	ug/L (ppb)	20	3.00	104	111	50-144	7
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	<b>50</b>	8.91	104	108	46-148	4

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\operatorname{Percent}$	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	66-135
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	67-134
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	101	66-134
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	57-135

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- $\mbox{ip}$  Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- ${
  m jr}$  The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

THE COLUMN CASE OF STREET	200 300 date	THE STATE OF THE S	*							65040W	Sample ID		**** 296-57-6033 Jax # 206-382-4309	Sex	C. ALARCAN (628 S.	
ş	7									9	Lab ID		Jax # 2		HAND W	1
	L'CS	#	SECULTARIES SE							8/24/11	Dete	1	282-382	CNA 98134	Wasts ST	[]
	4									12:30	7		488	184	7 4 8	į
+	-	0								tho	Sample Type	1		REMARKS	No de	SAMP
	HONG	GRIENO		+			-	-	+	7				SS	METE SECT	SAMPLERS (Secretary)
		N	PART NAME	1	İ	-		-			TPW-D-Dies	$\perp$			£ .	
	MANAN	たから	A				-	1 1	+		TPH-COMM				morran	
-	1							+-			YOO by SE			-	¥	10
	2	4	8			+		+		7	MFS CRCU NE			-	\$ 000 m	•1
1	β,	5	NEW Y		-	-	+	+	-				<u></u>		30	
				1									1		180	
1.	1	1/bulk	T DAMES				1	+	+			-		S S S S	13.64 O	
	14:05	205pm	2								ř			TWO SEED OF STREET	oute Tak	

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 27, 2011

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 21, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor M07099, F&BI 104271 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0427R.DOC